

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION

12

13 CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,
14 JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER
15 CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO,
individually and on behalf of all similarly
situated,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 GOOGLE LLC,

19 Defendant.

Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
GOOGLE LLC'S MOTION FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE TO
PROPOSE REVISED BRIEFING
SCHEDULE ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
FOR ORDER REQUIRING GOOGLE TO
SHOW CAUSE WHY IT SHOULD NOT
BE SANCTIONED FOR DISCOVERY
MISCONDUCT**

Referral: Hon. Susan van Keulen, USMJ

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Before the Court is Google, LLC’s Motion For Administrative Leave To Propose Revised Briefing Schedule On Plaintiffs’ Motion For Order Requiring Google To Show Cause Why It Should Not Be Sanctioned For Discovery Misconduct (the “Motion”). Having considered the Motion and supporting documents, the Court finds there is good cause to Grant the Motion.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that, the Briefing And Hearing Schedule On Plaintiffs’ Motion For Order Requiring Google To Show Cause Why It Should Not Be Sanctioned For Discovery Misconduct (Dkt. 443) be modified as follows:

Event	Prior Date/Deadline	Revised Date/Deadline
Google’s opposition brief	March 24, 2022	March 31, 2022
Plaintiffs’ reply	April 7, 2022	April 14, 2022
Each side to file proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, with Word versions emailed to the Courtroom Deputy (<i>see</i> Judge van Keulen’s Civil Pretrial Standing Order ¶ V for information about required contents)	April 14, 2022	April 21, 2022
Evidentiary hearing	April 21, 2022 at 10:00 a.m.	_____ at 10:00 a.m.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

HON. SUSAN VAN KEULEN
United States Magistrate Judge